

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CADE OYADOMORI,

Plaintiff,

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,

Defendant.

No. 07-03369 CW  
MDL No. 1819

CLERK'S NOTICE RE  
FILING OF FIRST  
PRETRIAL ORDER IN  
NEWLY TRANSFERRED  
CASE

The above-captioned case was transferred by the Judicial Panel  
for Multidistrict Litigation and filed with the Court on June 27,  
2007. Accordingly,

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN regarding the filing of the attached  
First Pretrial Order in the newly transferred case.

6/28/07

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

*Sheilah Cahill*

\_\_\_\_\_  
SHEILAH CAHILL  
Deputy Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS MEMORY  
(SRAM) ANTITRUST LITIGATION

No. M:07-cv-01819 CW  
MDL No. 1819

FIRST PRETRIAL ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (MDL Panel) has transferred to this Court for coordinated pretrial proceedings certain antitrust actions relating to an alleged conspiracy to fix the price of Static Random Access Memory (SRAM), In Re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1819. On May 3, 2007, the Court ruled on motions for appointment of interim lead counsel pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) for the direct and indirect purchaser claims. Because of the number of cases before the Court and the need to establish procedures for the management of these cases, the Court ORDERS as follows:

1. APPLICABILITY OF ORDER. Prior to the initial case management conference and entry of a comprehensive order governing all further proceedings in this case, the provisions of this Order shall govern the practice and procedure in those actions that were transferred to this Court by the Panel. This Order also applies to

1 all related cases filed in all divisions of the Northern District  
2 of California and all "tag along actions" later filed in, removed  
3 to, or transferred to this Court.

4 2. CONSOLIDATION AND/OR COORDINATION. The civil actions  
5 transferred to this Court or related to the actions already pending  
6 before this Court are consolidated for pretrial purposes. Any  
7 "tag-along actions" later filed in, removed to, or transferred to  
8 this Court, or directly filed in the Northern District of  
9 California, will automatically be consolidated with this action  
10 upon notice from the parties that the action should be related and  
11 consolidated for pretrial purposes. No further motions will be  
12 required. This consolidation does not constitute a determination  
13 that the actions should be consolidated for trial, nor does it have  
14 the effect of making any entity a party to any action in which he,  
15 she or it has not been named, served or added in accordance with  
16 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

17 3. DATE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND AGENDA FOR  
18 CONFERENCE. Matters relating to pretrial, discovery proceedings,  
19 and coordination of the direct and indirect cases will be addressed  
20 at the case management conference on Friday, June 1, 2007 at 1:30  
21 p.m. before Judge Claudia Wilken in Courtroom 2, 4th Floor, United  
22 States Courthouse, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612.  
23 Defendants, Interim Lead Direct Class Counsel, and Interim Lead  
24 Indirect Class Counsel shall be prepared to discuss a case  
25 management schedule and proposals for coordination of the direct  
26 and indirect cases. If the parties have any suggestions as to  
27 additional agenda items for the initial case management conference,  
28

1 these suggestions should be included as part of the joint case  
2 management conference statement to be filed by May 22, 2007.

3 4. INITIAL CONFERENCE APPEARANCES. Members of the class  
4 shall appear through Interim Lead Direct Class Counsel and Interim  
5 Lead Indirect Class Counsel. All other parties represented by  
6 counsel shall appear at the initial pretrial conference through the  
7 party's attorney who will have primary responsibility for the  
8 party's interest in this litigation. Parties not represented by  
9 counsel may appear in person. To minimize costs and facilitate a  
10 manageable conference, parties with similar interests may agree to  
11 the extent practicable to have an attending attorney represent the  
12 party's interest at the conference. A party will not by  
13 designating a representative to represent a party's interest at the  
14 conference be precluded from other representation during the  
15 litigation, nor will attendance at the conference waive objections  
16 to jurisdiction, venue, or service.

17 5. MASTER DOCKET FILE. All pleadings and filings shall be  
18 made in the Master Docket File, No. M:07-cv-01819-CW. The caption  
19 of each pleading shall read "In Re Static Random Access Memory  
20 (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation" and, in addition to the master file  
21 number, shall include the identification "MDL No. 1819." When a  
22 pleading is intended to apply to all actions, this shall be  
23 indicated by the words "This Document Relates to All Actions."  
24 When a pleading is intended to apply only to all Direct Purchaser  
25 Plaintiffs, this shall be indicated by the words "This Document  
26 Relates to All Direct Purchaser Actions." When a pleading is  
27 intended to apply only to all Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs, this  
28

1 shall be indicated by the words "This Document Relates to All  
2 Indirect Purchaser Actions." When a pleading is intended to apply  
3 only to an individual case, this shall be indicated by the words  
4 "This Document Relates Only to Case No." with the corresponding  
5 number.

6 6. FILING. This case is subject to Electronic Case Filing  
7 (ECF), pursuant to General Order 45, Section VI of which requires  
8 that all documents in such a case be filed electronically. General  
9 Order 45 provides at Section IV (A) that "Each attorney of record  
10 is obligated to become an ECF User and be assigned a user ID and  
11 password for access to the system upon designation of the action as  
12 being subject to ECF." If she or he has not already done so,  
13 counsel shall register forthwith as an ECF User and be issued an  
14 ECF User ID and password. Forms and instructions can be found on  
15 the Court's Web site at [ecf.cand.uscourts.gov](http://ecf.cand.uscourts.gov). All documents shall  
16 be e-filed in the master file, M:07-cv-01819-CW. Documents that  
17 pertain to one or only some of the pending actions shall also be  
18 e-filed in the individual case(s) to which the document pertains.

19 7. DOCKETING NEW CASES. When an action that properly  
20 belongs as part of In Re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM)  
21 Antitrust Litigation is filed after the date of this Order in the  
22 Northern District of California or transferred here from another  
23 court, the Clerk of this Court shall:

24 A. File a copy of this Order in the separate file for such  
25 action;

26 B. Make an appropriate entry on the master docket sheet;

27 C. Email to the attorneys for the plaintiff in the newly filed  
28

1 or transferred case a copy of this Order; and

2 D. Upon the first appearance of any new defendant, email to  
3 the attorneys for the defendant in such newly filed or transferred  
4 cases a copy of this Order.

5 8. APPEARANCES. Counsel who appeared in a transferor court  
6 prior to transfer need not enter an additional appearance before  
7 this Court.

8 9. APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM LEAD COUNSEL.

9 A. DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS. The Court appoints the  
10 law firm of Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy as Interim Lead Direct Class  
11 Counsel and Liaison Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs.  
12 Interim Lead Direct Class Counsel shall be responsible for the  
13 overall conduct of the litigation on behalf of the Direct Purchaser  
14 Plaintiffs. Interim Lead Direct Class Counsel shall have the  
15 following responsibilities with respect to this litigation on  
16 behalf of Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs:

17 1. To coordinate and communicate with Interim Lead  
18 Indirect Class Counsel;

19 2. To coordinate and make the work assignments to  
20 the Direct Purchaser Steering Committee Counsel to promote  
21 efficient prosecution of this litigation and to avoid duplication  
22 of work;

23 3. To initiate and conduct all discovery  
24 proceedings and communicate with Defendants' counsel with respect  
25 to same on all issues related to the Direct Purchaser Class;

26 4. To coordinate all motions, requests for  
27 discovery, experts and other pretrial proceedings regarding the  
28

1 position of all the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs. No motion,  
2 request for discovery, or other pretrial proceedings in this  
3 litigation shall be initiated or filed by any Direct Purchaser  
4 class member except through Interim Lead Direct Class Counsel;

5           5. To communicate with and contact any defendant  
6 corporate entity who is seeking amnesty under the Antitrust  
7 Criminal Penalty Enforcement and Reform Act of 2004, 118 Stat. 661  
8 (2001);

9           6. To meet with defense counsel with respect to  
10 settlement and other matters on behalf of Direct Purchasers;

11           7. To coordinate and communicate with counsel in  
12 the Indirect Purchaser Class cases with respect to matters  
13 addressed in this paragraph;

14           8. To record and administer all time and expenses  
15 of counsel and staff in the Direct Purchaser class action cases on  
16 a form set forth by Interim Lead Direct Class Counsel on a monthly  
17 basis or on such other schedule as may be established. Failure to  
18 maintain and timely submit such records will be considered in any  
19 fee allocation and may constitute grounds for denying court-awarded  
20 attorneys' fees; and

21           9. To assess Direct Purchaser Steering Committee  
22 law firms' common litigation costs and to collect assessments on a  
23 regular basis.

24           B. Further, the law firm of Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy  
25 shall be responsible for assigning and overseeing all assignments  
26 delegated to the Steering Committee for the Direct Purchaser  
27 Plaintiffs, as listed on Exhibit A.

1 C. INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS. The Court appoints  
2 the law firm of Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP as Interim  
3 Lead Indirect Class Counsel and Liaison Counsel for the Indirect  
4 Purchaser Plaintiffs. Interim Lead Indirect Class Counsel shall be  
5 responsible for the overall conduct of the litigation on behalf of  
6 the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs. Interim Lead Indirect Class  
7 Counsel shall have the following responsibilities with respect to  
8 this litigation on behalf of Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs:

9 1. To coordinate and communicate with Interim Lead  
10 Direct Class Counsel;

11 2. To coordinate and make the work assignments to  
12 the Indirect Purchaser Steering Committee counsel to promote the  
13 efficient prosecution of this litigation and avoid duplication of  
14 work;

15 3. To initiate and conduct all discovery  
16 proceedings and communicate with Defendants' counsel with respect  
17 to same and on all issues related to the Indirect Purchaser Class.

18 4. To coordinate all motions, requests for  
19 discovery, experts and other pretrial proceedings regarding the  
20 position of all the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs. No motions,  
21 request for discovery, or other pretrial proceedings in this  
22 litigation shall be initiated or filed by any Indirect Purchaser  
23 class member except through Interim Lead Indirect Class Counsel;

24 5. To communicate with and contact any defendant  
25 corporate entity who is seeking amnesty under the Antitrust  
26 Criminal Penalty Enforcement and Reform Act of 2004, 118 Stat. 661  
27 (2001);



1                   6. To meet with defense counsel with respect to  
2 settlement and other matters on behalf of Indirect Purchasers;

3                   7. To coordinate and communicate with counsel in  
4 the Direct Purchaser Class cases with respect to matters addressed  
5 in this paragraph;

6                   8. To record and administer all time and expenses  
7 of counsel and staff in the Indirect Purchaser class action cases  
8 on a form set forth by Interim Lead Indirect Class Counsel on a  
9 monthly basis or on such other schedule as may be established.  
10 Failure to maintain and timely submit such records will be  
11 considered in any fee allocation and may constitute grounds for  
12 denying court-awarded attorneys' fees; and

13                   9. To assess Indirect Purchaser Steering Committee  
14 law firms' common litigation costs and to collect assessments on a  
15 regular basis.

16                   D. Further, the law firm of Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason  
17 & Gett, LLP shall be responsible for assigning and overseeing  
18 assignments delegated to the Steering Committee for the Indirect  
19 Purchaser Plaintiffs, as listed on Exhibit B.

20                   10. PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE. All parties and their counsel  
21 are reminded of their duty to preserve evidence that may be  
22 relevant to this action. The duty extends to documents, data, and  
23 tangible things in the possession, custody and control of the  
24 parties to this action, and any employees, agents, contractors,  
25 carriers, bailees, or other non-parties who possess materials  
26 reasonably anticipated to be subject to discovery in this action.  
27 "Documents, data, and tangible things" shall be interpreted broadly  
28

1 to include writings, records, files, correspondence, reports,  
2 memoranda, calendars, diaries, minutes, electronic messages, voice  
3 mail, E-mail, telephone message records or logs, computer and  
4 network activity logs, hard drives, backup data, removable computer  
5 storage media such as tapes, discs and cards, printouts, document  
6 image files, Web pages, databases, spreadsheets, software, books,  
7 ledgers, journals, orders, invoices, bills, vouchers, check  
8 statements, worksheets, summaries, compilations, computations,  
9 charts, diagrams, graphic presentations, drawings, films, charts,  
10 digital or chemical process photographs, video, phonographic, tape  
11 or digital recordings or transcripts thereof, drafts, jottings and  
12 notes, studies or drafts of studies or other similar such material.  
13 Information that serves to identify, locate, or link such material,  
14 such as file inventories, file folders, indices, and metadata, is  
15 also included in this definition. Until the parties reach an  
16 agreement on a preservation plan or the Court orders otherwise,  
17 each party shall take reasonable steps to preserve all documents,  
18 data, and tangible things containing information potentially  
19 relevant to the subject matter of this litigation. Counsel is  
20 under an obligation to the Court to exercise all reasonable efforts  
21 to identify and notify parties and non-parties of their duties,  
22 including employees of corporate or institutional parties.

23 11. FILING OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS. In accordance with Rule  
24 5(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, discovery requests  
25 and responses are not to be filed with the Clerk nor sent to the  
26 Judge's Chambers, except to the extent needed in connection with a  
27 motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

5/17/07

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_



CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

cc: Clerk, JPMDL

EXHIBIT A  
STEERING COMMITTEE FOR THE DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

The Steering Committee on behalf of the Direct Purchaser  
Plaintiffs includes the following firms:

1. Freed Kanner London & Millen, LLC
2. Gold Bennett Cera & Sidener, LLP
3. Green Welling, LLP
4. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP
5. Saveri & Saveri, Inc.
6. Meredith Cohen Greenfogel & Skirnick, P.C.

EXHIBIT B  
STEERING COMMITTEE FOR THE INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

The Steering Committee on behalf of the Indirect Purchaser  
Plaintiffs includes the following firms:

1. Durette Bradshaw, PLC
2. Furth Lehmann, LLP
3. Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP
4. Shepherd Finkelman Miller & Shah, LLC